



Patent Application  
Attorney Docket No.25109A

*DB/B*

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Hon. Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March 2005.

By

*Madeline Deveran*

(Signature of person mailing)

Madeline Deveran

(Typed or printed name of person)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Duncan Robert Armour, et al. :

APPLICATION NO.: 10/632,438 : Examiner: Shailendra Kumar

FILING DATE: July 31, 2003 : Group Art Unit: 1621

TITLE: OXYTOCIN INHIBITORS :

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

AMENDMENT AFTER ALLOWANCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner enter an Amendment after Allowance. Applicants submit that these amendments merely correct formal matters in the claims and properly narrows the scope of the dependent Claim 3, which as previously drafted is broader than the independent Claim 2. Claim 4 was amended in Applicants previous response, but was incorrectly identified as "Original". Applicants' correctly changed the status to "presently amended" and maintained the corrections submitted previously for the Examiners' review. Applicants also cancelled Claim 10, as it was duplicative.

Applicants state that the proposed amended claims require no additional search or examination and are patentable. The amendments were not presented earlier, because in the last Amendment and Response submitted by Applicants, Claim 2 was narrowed and Claim 3 was erroneously not narrowed to properly reflect dependency from Claim 2.

Please enter the following amendments to the claims: